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(Exhibits Numbers 1, 2, and 3 were marked for identification, this date.)

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Good morning. It is 8:30 a.m. on Tuesday April, 11, 2023. I'll call to order the Public Hearing of the Industrial Development Agency of Saratoga County that is being held in the offices of the Saratoga Springs City Hall at 474 Broadway, Saratoga Springs.

The Agency received an application submitted by Munter Land Holdings, LLC, known as the Applicant, with offices located at 881 Murray Road in Middle Grove.

The Applicant has requested that this Agency undertake a Project consisting of an acquisition of an interest in an approximately 37-acre parcel of land comprised of tax map parcel 177.-1-67, located at the western terminus of Duplainville Road, west of Freedom Way in the City Saratoga Springs, Saratoga County, New York, and the construction on the Land of an approximately 50,000-square foot facility to be operated by the Tenant for the manufacture of stormwater and wastewater piping, and the
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acquisition and installation of certain machinery and equipment in that building, together with the Land and Facility being known as the Project Facility.

The total cost associated with the acquisition, construction, and installation of the Project Facility is now presently estimated to be $\$ 19,500,000$.

The Agency is considering whether to undertake the Project and finance the Project by executing a mortgage or mortgages to secure a borrowing or borrowings by the Applicant in an aggregate principal amount sufficient to finance all or a portion of the cost to acquire, construct, and install the Project Facility, and the cost of the loan is currently estimated to be \$7,500,000.

If the undertaking of the Project and execution and delivery of the mortgage is approved by this Agency, then the Project may be granted such exemptions with respect to real property taxes, mortgage recording tax, and state and local sales tax, as are applicable to manufacturing facilities as described in the
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Agency's Uniform Tax Exemption Policy adopted on October 14th, 2014, subject to deviation as determined by the Agency.

The Project Facility will be acquired, constructed, and installed by the Agency and leased, with the obligation to purchase, or sold by the Agency to the Company, pursuant to a Project Agreement, and the Company and Applicant will be the initial users of the Project Facility, and the Mortgage will be a special obligation of the Agency, payable solely out of certain proceeds of the Agreement and certain other assets of the Agency pledged for the repayment of the Loan.

I will now ask counsel to the Agency, James Carminucci, if proper legal notice was provided for the Public Hearing in accordance with the regulations.

MR. CARMINUCCI: Yes. Good morning. Notice of the Public Hearing was published in the Saratogian on March 22nd, 2023, and notices were sent to the affected taxing jurisdictions as well.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Thank you. Agency
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members present today are Tom Lewis, Michael Mooney, Erinn Kolligian, Yvonne Manso, Kevin Tollisen, and myself, Phil Klein.

Staff present are Scott Duffy, the CEO; Jeff Many, the CFO; Counsel, James Carminucci, administrative staff, Michael Valentine and Kimberly Lambert.

On the application, this request for the Project was last presented to this Agency on February 14, 2023. That application and supporting material have been available for review by any interested parties since February 7th, 2023, at the IDA office at 50 West High Street in Ballston Spa.

Since the presentation of that application, are there any additional comments or thoughts from staff or the Applicant that need to be provided at this Public Hearing relative to the application that is now being submitted?

MS. RILEY: No, Mr. Chairman.
VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I am, therefore, going to open the Public Hearing for the input from the public for response or comments relative to the application.
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If you would like to, just when you come to the microphone, identify yourself.

MS. BEHUNIAK: My name is Susan Sasha Behuniak, and I am a resident of Adams Road.

I'm here on behalf of neighbors who are on Adams Road, Larkspur Drive, Rip Van Lane. We are very close by the projected parcel for the Munter proposal.

We did not receive any sort of information or notice. I realize now it was in the newspaper. We did not see that, but we did not receive notice until a placard was put up in -- near the woods on Adams Road last week. Before that, we had no idea that something so significant was going to happen to our neighborhood.

So I'm coming before you today, and I'd like to read into the record what I sent to Mr. Valentine just a few days ago:

On behalf of the neighbors who own residential property on Adams Road, Larkspur Drive, and Rip Van Lane, I am writing to forward questions and concerns about the Munter-Soleno proposal involving parcel 177.1-67. Some of us
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will attend the April 11th meeting -- that would be today, and we also have a large contingency going to the next meeting of the Planning Board this Thursday.

We ask that before the County of Saratoga Industrial Development Agency votes on this proposal before you today, that you consider and answer our initial questions regarding health, safety, and environmental impacts of the Project.

One, which category of industrial zone is this parcel and does Soleno's activities comply?

Two, what are the exact locations within the parcel for the proposed buildings, other structures, parking lots, and access driveways and roads?

Three, what chemicals will be used in the manufacturing of the wastewater pipes, how will they be stored, and what safety measures will be enforced?

Four, what is Soleno's -- the lessee's -record of safety and environmental protection? We realize they're a Canadian company.

Five, how many trees will be removed from this acreage and how many replaced? How will
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this deforestation be done, and what measures will be taken to abate pollution and noise for the neighbors?

Six, what will be the operation hours during construction and also the hours during the operation of the plant?

Seven, has the nearby Ballston Spa Water District -- which lines our backyards and actually goes onto Adams -- have they reviewed and approved of this proposal regarding their water system?

Eight, has a study of potential water runoff been conducted or a wetland evaluation done? We are part of a former wetland, and you can drive up and down Adams and see the water that it pooling on each side of the road at present time.

Nine, what is the likely impact for neighborhood real estate values? Would you like this in your neighborhood?

Ten, has a traffic study been conducted? A point of information about this is that Adams Road has traffic and speeding problems that the City has largely ignored. Mailboxes, plantings,
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and garbage cans are frequently hit by speeders, especially in the morning hours when workers are commuting to their existing industrial sites.

The sidewalk along the road only extends from Rip Van Lane to Larkspur Drive, making it already dangerous to walk or bicycle to Geyser Park where the children play, where the softball league meets, and to Geyser Elementary School.

There's no access along that road, there's no safety measures, and so our point is not so much the trucks that might be coming in the back way, but the workers that come speeding down the road and ignore the 30 mile per hour speed limit.
[End of document being read.]
And then I have, I can be contacted -again, my name is Sasha Behuniak, B-E-H-U-N-I-A-K. This is not just my concern. I have three of my neighbors with me right now, but we have 12 households right now who are extremely concerned and who are going to be attending meetings from this point on, and the neighborhood is quite large, and we pay a lot of money in taxes.
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I can be contacted at 315-263-8788.
That's my cell. I've given you -- my address is 80 Adams, and my e-mail is Sashaniak@gmail.com. What I'd like to add is that I did hear from Mr. Munter yesterday. He e-mailed me, and he offered to meet with me. I am trying to emphasize that this is not about me. This is about a huge neighborhood that is a significant part of this community, and we love this community, and we are concerned about so much acreage.

We believe that this is one of the largest acreage left in the county that is really undeveloped. We understand that it's an industrial zone, but we would like to make sure that the safety of the community is enhanced, that we have environmental protections certainly concerning the air, the water, and then the deforestation of this large acreage plot.

So, it's not that we're obstructionists and saying we need to absolutely stop this and preserve for all time, but this has to be done with good stewardship for everybody in the community, now and into the future.
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VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Thank you. Anyone else like their voice heard?

MR. SHEARER: Good morning. My name is Craig Shearer. I'm here representing the owners and employees of Lane Enterprises.

Before I talk about Soleno's proposal, I'd like to give you guys a little background on who Lane is, that way you know why we're here.

Lane is an employee-owned company. That's the first thing I'd like to share. One hundred percent; we are what is called an ESOP. That stands for Employee Stock Ownership Program.

Every employee -- in fact, I brought a couple with me that are also residents of Saratoga -- every employee who has been there a year owns part of the company. They do not have to buy stock. They are given it by the company.

Two, we've been in New York for almost 90 years. First plant was in Bath, New York in 1934. We then moved into Pennsylvania, Virginia. Another plant in New York was added in 1970. So we have been in this county -- excuse me, 1970 in Ballston Spa, to be specific. So we've been in this county for over 50 years and a part of this
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community.
Three, we are a manufacturer of stormwater products, that means corrugated steel pipe, corrugated aluminum pipe, polyethylene pipe, and polypropylene pipe. What that means is Soleno is a direct competitor of our company. Obviously competition moving in less than ten miles from our existing plant caught the eye of many of my employees, and they all came to me very concerned.

I can't argue with Mr. Munter wanting to sell them the land. I can't argue with Soleno owning the building. It's a great community. We have been here for 50 years for a reason. What I can argue about is whether or not our government, the IDA, should assist them in doing so. My belief is, no, we should not.

In doing so, you're essentially putting the thumb on the scale helping Soleno come in here to compete and take money out of our pockets, take jobs, opportunities, money away from our company, our employees, and owners of that company.

In general, I applaud what the IDA does.
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I think it's admirable, but I believe it was founded -- and correct me if I'm wrong on this: In order to advance job opportunities, general prosperity, and economic welfare of the citizens of Saratoga County.

In some ways, allowing Soleno to build there would do that, there would be more jobs, but I am telling you that they will take jobs, opportunities, and money out of the pockets of existing citizens who are already here and a company that has been here in this community for over 50 years.

Based upon that, I would ask you to vote no on this proposal. Thank you.

I will be here and my employees are here if you would like to ask us any questions.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Thank you, sir.
MR. FRYE: Hello, my name is Jack Frye.
I am a neighbor on Adams Road. The proposed Project is going to be 50 feet from my house. That's the berm that they say.

I have two points that I'd like to make. One is notification. Not all of us get the Saratogian or read it like that. We would expect
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notification about this.
Munter -- is that his name -- apparently decided that he was going to contact a neighbor. He contacted someone I don't even know. His name, apparently you might know, is Saffron, because he's been a problem here. He met with him and got a sign-off, right. Saffron's property is nowhere near the proposed Project. Our houses are right next door. We were not notified at all.

The sign that went up was tucked behind a huge oak tree, and I happened to see it because I was walking my dog. Nobody else noticed it. I submit that there was not proper notification given about any of this. That's my first point.

My second point has to do with the number of jobs being created. If you read the documentation, they're not clear how many jobs, in fact, are going to be created. At one point it says 50, another point it says 35, another set point it says 25. So, wouldn't the company know how many employees it takes to run this operation?

If they don't meet their quota, what are
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the consequences? What are the punishments if they do not meet the number of employees that they say they're actually going to create?

So those are my two points. Thank you. I would ask that you either vote it down or delay the vote.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Any other comments, questions?

MR. CARMINUCCI: Mike, was anything received electronically?

MR. VALENTINE: Just what I just passed out to Board Members, yes, and that's what was read into the record.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Okay. Having been a member of the City Planning Board for two terms, 14 years, I have some questions with regards to the notifications, but, Jim, if I could ask you -- and I don't know -- I know you do not know exactly if all of the notifications were made, but that would be a function of the Planning Board receiving their application and then vetting all of the necessary --

MR. CARMINUCCI: Right. We've met our notification obligations under the General
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Municipal Law. Whatever the Planning Board is required to do is separate and apart from this process.

MR. VALENTINE: Mr. Chairman, I would just speak to that. I did speak with -- do you mind if I call you Sasha?

MS. BEHUNIAK: That's fine.
MR. VALENTINE: I have a hard time with pronouncing your name.

I spoke with Sasha at 7:30 yesterday morning after I received the e-mail at 9 o'clock Sunday night, and one of the things it brought up, and I was trying to differentiate, is Saratoga County IDA and Saratoga Springs City Planning Board.

They are two different bodies, two different functions. They operate for different purposes, and as I told you on the list, the list on here that we received, almost all of it is City Planning Board. It's not that somebody sitting here will say, okay, we're not looking at it or speaking about it, but when you came up to speak, you were speaking to the City Planning Department, Planning Board with your comments.
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So there is that difference, but as Counsel noted, our responsibility for notification has been met. All right. It went out with the public notice.

What the City did with their obligation to post notice, we were not even aware of until a conversation came up between yourself and me.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Right.
MR. LEWIS: Jim, has the City Planning Board approved this yet?

MR. CARMINUCCI: No.
VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: The process is ongoing.

MR. CARMINUCCI: Mike, what's the status?
MR. VALENTINE: Yes. There was an approval on Monday night last week, all right, and then I was going to ask John if he wants -do you want to speak to that, as far as what the status is after?

There was an awareness that signage had to be put up, and the Applicant wasn't either told, notified by the Board at the time, as far as posting it on the site.

MR. MUNTER: There's a new standard in
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the UDO, which just took effect very recently, for a sign to be placed on a property if it's under any of the Land Use Board approval process, so Zoning Board, Design Review, Planning Board, all three boards: Minimum of seven days, maximum of 20 days from a meeting. Okay.

So, the first meeting we attended, it was a miscommunication between us as the Applicant and the city planner. She didn't have the sign made. She has to have the sign made. We have to purchase it, pick it up, and place it.

So, after the meeting, it was determined by the City attorneys, a representative for Mr. Shackner's office, our attorney, Stephanie Bitter, who is here on behalf of John Lapper, those two communicated to bring up the fact that that sign had not been placed on the property prior to the Planning Board meeting.

So we all agreed that for the process to be done lawfully and for the public to have the opportunity to weigh in, that we place the sign on the property and bring it back to the Planning Board.

> So we're not trying to hide anything. It
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was a mistake. It was done in the beginning of $a$ new process. It's not the first time this mistake has been made. There's been other public meetings that this has occurred with.

So the sign was placed last Thursday morning, and the Planning Board meeting is this coming Thursday evening. So the sign was placed in the appropriate amount of time. The sign was not ready for us to even pick up until the very end of business on Wednesday. I waited for them at the sign shop as they finished it.

So we put it up as soon as we could get it, and we're willing to hear the public on what their concerns are on the property.

As this Board knows, this industrial park was zoned industrial in the 1950s. It's been slowly growing since the '50s up to the point that it is now. It's been a very important economic facet of Saratoga Springs' and Saratoga County's economic well-being.

It's provided decades of people that have worked in those facilities from right out of high school to retirement. It's supported thousands of families.
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The neighborhoods were built after the industrial park was founded. So, I have compassion for the people that live adjacent to it, but it's always been planned to be developed. We've been before the Planning Board in this room. There's a few guys here that have heard us over the decades of master plans with this park. We extended the Duplainville Road back in 2010. There was a master plan for this piece that is before the Planning Board now for the size site that it's being developed as.

So, this isn't something out of the blue. This has been planned for since the 1950s for this property to be developed, and there's a lot of potential for the well-being of the City and the County by this park being continually developed with companies.

Environmentally, the Soleno company is one of the absolute best finds. This is a true gem to have as an industrial neighbor. So we'd appreciate the fact that this Board recognize the value of this application and the value of this company coming to our community, and this incentive benefit doesn't benefit us as the
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developer whatsoever. It only benefits them as a company, but, as you know, I have to represent this point because it's our property.

So, we hope that you'll help attract Soleno to our business community here. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN KIEIN: Thank you. Yes, ma'am?

MS. BEHUNIAK: Sasha Behuniak again. This was our notice, okay, in the woods, over a holiday weekend, okay, Good Friday, Holy Saturday, Passover, Easter, and during the school break, because the school is not in session this week.

So, a lot of neighbors are not there. A lot of people are driving by. They can't see this sign and, actually, somebody got out, went out to the sign and moved it closer to the road so that some people could actually see it.

So the seven days' notice, does that include -- are those business days, or do those also include holidays?

MR. LEWIS: The Planning Board, right?
MS. BEHUNIAK: Yes, this is for Planning
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Board meeting. Right, and I understand who you are. I understand that you're different, but we don't have any other way of being notified, other than say something like this, and we have a huge vested interest as well.

If you look at all the real estate there and you look at our safety and our health concerns, I'm not sure that your mission is only to find what's suitable or for business or for industry or for finances.

I think you also have a moral obligation for some of us who have huge amounts of money invested in this community and that another company who is now going to be undercut and that is going to be in competition, and you're going to be giving, I assume, tax breaks, and that influences -- and that impacts all of us as taxpayers.

So this isn't something that can be just separated out. I think that the questions that we ask are pertinent. I guess I want to know for the record that if you're saying that this is only pertinent for the Planning committee, I hear you, or are some of these considerations about
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the environment, health and safety, is that not your purview at all?

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Well, that's a good question, but, again, the Planning Board usually considers the Applicant before we get it.

So many of these answers to those -- or almost all of the answers to the questions that you proposed are to be answered via vetting by the Planning Board, and then if the City Council needs to get involved, then the City Council needs to get involved.

We are a County Agency that looks at it from a financial well-being of the community, with regards to jobs and infrastructure and things such as that. So, it's not our purview to question in the great detail that you might want to have this questioned. It's not our job, okay, to put it very simply.

MR. MANY: One question you had regarding the jobs, so they put down a number of jobs they need to create. That gets audited annually, and if they don't meet that, they get the tax
exemption removed. They have to meet that job number.
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MR. VALENTINE: Through a process.
MS. KOLLIGIAN: I know the Planning Board at some point -- or the Project, through the Planning process, has to notify adjacent neighbors that there's a Public Hearing that has --

MR. VALENTINE: That's what Phil and Tom were alluding to is the fact that properties within either -- in the City it could be 200 or 500, they vary from town to town and city, as far as adjoining properties.

So, if you have a residence or parcel that's within that scope of 200 to 500 , or whatever it is, they would have received notification, all right, from the Planning Board. So there was notice, and I did ask last week. Notices went out.

MS. KOLLIGIAN: Certified mail?
MR. VALENTINE: I imagine that's how they do it with certified mailing.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: You could check that again with the City Planning.

MS. BEHUNIAK: No notifications.
MR. MUNTER: Just to clarify on that,
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under the new UDO, mail notices are not a requirement for this type of application.

They have the website that they assume everyone in the world has the Internet now, so you can go on the website weekly to check the agenda for the whole city, which is what they think most people would do if they're concerned about their neighborhood.

If it's a certain type of application, like a zoning use or area change, they do require mailed notice. If it's a subdivision, they require mailed notice. For this type of application, it is not required.

MR. VALENTINE: So site plans don't require the mailing?

MR. MUNTER: No, but they all require the sign. So the sign was put up -- actually, I put the sign up. I disagree with the fact that it was put deep in the woods. I put it next to the intersection where people would slow down the most.

MS. BEHUNIAK: Perpendicular he placed it. You can't even see it. I have photos where the sign was placed.
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MR. MUNTER: Okay.
VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: One at a time.
MR. MUNTER: Obviously people saw it because they're here. The sign was placed near the intersection of Rip Van Lane, which was the closest stopping point. It wasn't on the apex of the turn. It wasn't anywhere where people might not be slowed down to see it, so I don't know how an argument about how the sign was placed is valid.

Anyways, the notifications for the City meeting are in compliance. The city attorney has checked it. We e-mailed her pictures last Thursday. She checked the compliance of it. She's content. She is the City's attorney, not our attorney. So I think as far as that goes, we can put that one to rest.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Okay. Tom?
MR. LEWIS: So you can stand or sit, it's up to you.

MR. MUNTER: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: Just by way of, I don't know, I was on the City Planning Board for almost six years. I've appeared before over 100 different
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planning boards for the State of New York back when I was in the business world. I'm now retired from that.

All municipalities have their own ways of doing notification, some by e-mail, some by signs, some are by newspaper stories, and I understand everything that -- I empathize with neighbors. Again, I've heard a lot of neighbors have legitimate objections. These are all very good, good questions.

I've met various kinds and seen different developers and multiple situations, and I'll tell you, the Munter Enterprises, they're one of the best applicants I've ever seen. So, I'm not surprised that if an error that was made by the City with notification wasn't their fault, but they say, okay, we'll come back to the Planning Board.

As to the competition, if you were proposing exactly what they're proposing, then I'd be in favor of it. So, I understand your position. Again, it is really not this Board's purview. It's like when you have a national issue, you know, pick any issue that you want,
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and then somebody comes before the State, the City Council on something, on some border issue, it's just not our purview.

So I don't want to be unsympathetic, but the responsibility of this Board is to look at the project, we listen to a presentation by Soleno, and based on what we heard, it seems like a very good project.

I'm in favor of the project from an IDA standpoint. Everything in this, as Mike Valentine said, this is all local stuff. It is under the purview of the City Board. I'm sure you are all going to be there Thursday.

Those people on the City Planning Board are not rubber stampers. I have every belief they will listen to you. They will weigh the decisions, but it's not relevant to this Board. These are not the kind of things that under the rules of an IDA, you know, they don't fall under these things. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Okay.
MS. BEHUNIAK: Is it the purview of the IDA to favor a Canadian company over --

MR. LEWIS: No --
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MS. BEHUNIAK: -- over a local company?
MR. LEWIS: -- no, I don't favor anybody over anything.

MS. BEHUNIAK: But it seems that you're willing to give away local taxpayer money in the form of exemptions and credits that will undercut a local company, and it's for a Canadian company to come here to compete with them.

MS. KOLLIGIAN: That's for the City Council.

MS. BEHUNIAK: I thought you were in charge of the economic part, the finance part, and you're going to enable them to do this.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: We're not going to be discriminatory in any manner. Okay. It's just not going to happen, and we're not having a dialogue here. It's question and answer. Okay?

MS. BEHUNIAK: Sorry, sir.
MR. SHEARER: I was essentially going to say the same thing, ma'am.

While I agree that it is not your area to determine whether the project should go forward or not, it is your area to determine whether or not this Council should aid the project to go
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forward or not.
MS. BEHUNIAK: Right.
MR. SHEARER: And my argument is that economically you're hurting many existing citizens and an existing business, and that should be considered when voting on this project.

If they want to build there, as I said, that's fine. Hell, I'll be happy to assign them the pipe to build, but aid should not be given. You should not be assisting them with a mortgage and with tax write-offs.

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Well, thank you very much for your comments.

With that said, I guess maybe that's -- I mean, it just doesn't make sense, sir, and I don't mean to get into a discussion with you, but if that would be the case, Ford would be the only car you'd buy, okay, because it would be the only dealer in town.

With that, are there any other comments from the public with regards to this matter?

MR. SHEARER: Sir, you -- I know you don't want to get into a discussion. I understand what you're saying but --
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VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: If you want to address us, you have got to come up to the microphone, sir.

MR. SHEARER: I do understand what you're saying, there should be more than one option, and there are. There are dealers that sell other manufacturer's pipe in this county. I'm fine with that. I said I'm fine with them building there.

I am not fine with our city government that we pay taxes to helping them to come here. That is my issue. And where am I wrong in that?

VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: We don't control the economy. Okay. If private enterprise wants to do Project A and someone else wants to do Project A-1, well, then fine. It's up to the private enterprise to do that. We're not a constraint. All we are is if everyone meets the criteria that's been set up --

MR. SHEARER: I'm not asking you to constrain them in any form. I'm asking you not to assist them.

MR. LEWIS: But if you were the applicant, I would feel the same way. I would be

## Proceedings - April 11, 2023

in favor of the project if you and your employees proposed the exact same thing, because we look at the whole county, how all these affect Saratoga County. If you were the applicant, I'd be in favor of it.

MR. SHEARER: What are the benefits that you see to the county by bringing Soleno in?

MR. LEWIS: It's jobs, it's development. These things all feed on each other. You know, Saratoga County is, like, for a couple of decades -- there's only three counties that have real growth, industrial, commercial, residential in all of New York: Orange County, Dutchess County, Saratoga County. North of Westchester, that's it.

So those things all feed off of each other. You get more industrial, you get more jobs, you get more houses built, you get more commercial establishments, and this Board has a terrific record of supporting really, really good projects, which is why our county keeps growing.

We have to look at a bigger picture. The City Planning Board is going to look at your issue.
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MR. SHEARER: That's what I'm asking you to do, is to look at the bigger picture. You can't look at a project on its own. You have to look at what it's going to do to the community as a whole.

MR. LEWIS: So you and I have a disagreement on that. I think I am doing that, and you think I'm not doing that.

MR. SHEARER: I do not think you are. Pipe is not --

MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry that I've disappointed you. I really mean that.

MR. SHEARER: No, no.
MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry I disappointed you.
MR. SHEARER: Sir, pipe is not going to go door to door to sell it. It depends on the development in the area. So, as I said, in general, I'm a fan of the IDA. I like to see development.

MR. LEWIS: Good.
MR. SHEARER: It creates opportunities for --

MR. LEWIS: We're on the same page.
MR. SHEARER: -- our company to sell
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pipe, but adding Soleno does not create more development. It creates more competition for an existing business that's been here for over 50 years.

MR. LEWIS: I think this Board understands your position.

MR. SHEARER: Okay. Thank you.
VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: Anyone else?
(No response.)
VICE CHAIRMAN KLEIN: If there is no other input to this Public Hearing relative to this application, and I see none, then we will close the Public Hearing. Thank you.
(Whereupon, at 9:11 a.m. the proceedings in the above-entitled matter were concluded.)
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